

From: [POULSEN Mike](#)
To: [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Dana Davoli/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)
Cc: [Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Sean Sheldrake/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)
Subject: RE: Fw: Divers
Date: 09/04/2008 01:04 PM

Eric, I like your suggestion regardless of how confident Sean is about non-compliance. It is less confrontational.

- Mike

-----Original Message-----

From: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov
[mailto:Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, September 04, 2008 12:44 PM
To: Davoli.Dana@epamail.epa.gov
Cc: Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov; Davoli.Dana@epamail.epa.gov; POULSEN Mike; Sheldrake.Sean@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Re: Fw: Divers

Dana, good job pulling this together. I only have one comment. We include the following statement: "EPA's experience is that most divers in Portland Harbor involved in sampling/analysis and remedial/removal work are not in compliance with the OSHA standards." Before we include this statement, I want to make sure that it is accurate. I would rather state something to the effect that "divers in Portland Harbor involved in sampling/analysis are not always in compliance with the OSHA standards." I am a little uncomfortable making a statement that most divers are not in compliance unless we are absolutely sure this is true. Sean, you may be in a better position to answer this.

Dana, in response to your question about whether I can see tracked changes, I am not able to. However, I will pdf the document to make sure.

Eric